IN	THE UNITED	STATES	DISTRICT	COURT	FOR	THE
	WESTERN DI	STRICT	OF OKLAH	OMA		

	Control of the last			D
-	•	Column and St.	CALCULATION	

	NOV 2 0 2017				
DR. RACHEL TUDOR,	CARMELITA REEDER SHINN, CLERK U.S. DIST. COURT WESTERN DIST. OKLA. BY				
Plaintiff,	U.S. DIST. COURT WESTERN DIST. OKLA. BY,DEPUTY				
v.	Case No. CIV-15-324-C				
SOUTHEASTERN OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY and THE REGIONAL UNIVERSITY SYSTEM OF OKLAHOMA, Defendants.					
VERDICT F	ORM				
We, the jury, empaneled and sworn in the above entitled cause, do, upon our					
oaths, find as follows:					
Section I. Hostile Work Environment Claim					
Question 1. Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence her hostile work environment claim? (Check one)					
Yes No					
(Proceed to Question 2.)					
Section II. Discrimination Claims 2009-10					
Question 2. Has Plaintiff proven by a preportenied tenure in 2009-10 because of her gender					
Yes No					
(Proceed to Question 3.)					

Section III. Discrimination Claims 2010-11

Question 3. Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendants' decision to deny Plaintiff the opportunity to apply for tenure in the 2010-11 cycle was because of her gender? (Check one)				
Yes	No			
(Proceed to Questic	on 4.)			
	Section IV. Retaliation Claim			
retaliation for Plair	Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that, in stiff's complaints about workplace discrimination, Defendants opportunity to reapply for tenure in the 2010-11 cycle? (Check			
Yes \(\frac{1}{2} \)	No			
(Proceed to Question	n 5).			
	Section V. Damages			
	nave answered yes to any of the above questions, please set, the to which Plaintiff is entitled to compensate her for her injuries.			
<u> /20 / 17</u> Date	Presiding Juror			